Data Science: Finding the ROI

Armin Kakas & Mike Milanowski

scussion Purposes Only | No comments or slides infer legal advice or t t actions. All decisions are at the sole discretion of your organization.

n

X

Data Science | Finding the ROI



USING MENTAL MODELS FOR A JOURNEY

DATA BASICS MACHINE LEARNING



"When we try to pick out anything by itself, we find it hitched to everything else in the Universe."

Let's play a game

n

The Monty Hall problem

cussion Purposes Only | No comments or slides infer legal advice or actions. All decisions are at the sole discretion of your organization.









Discussion Purposes Only | No comments or slides infer legal advice or ket actions. All decisions are at the sole discretion of your organization.









Switching to door #2 is the right choice...

iscussion Purposes Only | No comments or slides infer legal advice or et actions. All decisions are at the sole discretion of your organization.







When given the choice, you should always switch doors!





^{ex}But why?

scussion Purposes Only | No comments or slides infer legal advice or t actions. All decisions are at the sole discretion of your organization.

POI Toronto | June 2019

3



You pick door #3 Door #1 is eliminated, which leaves us with 2 doors that can potentially have the car. 50/50 probability, right?

> cussion Purposes Only | No comments or slides infer legal advice or t actions. All decisions are at the sole discretion of your organization.



3

1/3 probability



3

1/3 probability

the car is here

Intuition

and a	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
a	?	?	?	?		?	?	?	?	?
V e	?	a ?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
	n _? =	? 9	6 <u>?</u>	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
	# ?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
	? t	- 1 _?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
. et	22/	Ş	a ? ₄₂	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
	?	(x)	∛ ? _⊳ ∞	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
		? ^b	?	?∂	?	?	?	?	?	?

scussion Purposes Only | No comments or slides infer legal advice or t actions. All decisions are at the sole discretion of your organization.

If 98 doors are revealed to have the goat, do you think there is still a 50/50 chance that our original choice is correct?

				and the second se			1	1		1
1	X	×	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
	X	X	X	Χ	\checkmark	X	X	X	X	X
e	Х	∂ X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
	^m X	X	[%] X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
	ŹХ	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
	Xt	1 X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
~	4	X	a X 42	?	X	X	X	X	X	X
XX	X	XX	∛ X e ^x	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
No.	X	X	X	X ∂	X	X	X	X	X	X

scussion Purposes Only | No comments or slides infer legal advice or et actions. All decisions are at the sole discretion of your organization.

Monty Hall implications for CPG

- Knee-jerk reactions are dominant in business decisions
- We are not good at forecasting, especially new products
- Tendency to stay the course and follow company lore
- We continue to overspend on dilutive customers
- Teams fit analysis to our sales/marketing narrative

Data science is not about confirming our prior beliefs – it is about uncovering hidden truths or enhancing our knowledge.

Data Basics | Finding the ROI

scussion Purposes Only | No comments or slides infer legal advice or et actions. All decisions are at the sole discretion of your organization.

2

n

f(x)

Data Basics | Finding the ROI



"A [person] will be imprisoned in a room with a door that's unlocked and opens inwards; as long as it does not occur to him to pull rather than push." Wittgenstein



Base Setting | Cost Changes



POI Toronto | June 2019

scussion Purposes Only | No comments or slides infer legal advice or et actions. All decisions are at the sole discretion of your organization.

Base Setting | Data Basics

"IN GOD WE TRUST, ALL OTHERS MUST BRING DATA"

W. EDWARDS DEMING



scussion Purposes Only | No comments or slides infer legal advice or et actions. All decisions are at the sole discretion of your organization.

n

A	В	C	D	E	F	G	H	1	J	K	- L	М	Ν
	lat	LON	RECORD_DATE	MAX_TEM	MIN_TEM	CREATED_DATETIME	INTEGRAT	DASK_ID	LAT	LON	LON_ADJU	LON_NOA	LON_NO/
0	13.25	272.75	1/1/2014	93.549	77.37	11/14/2018 1:49	13.25~272	6728	13.25	-87.25	92.75	92.75	272.75
1	13.25	272.75	1/1/2014	93.549	77.37	11/14/2018 1:49	13.25~272	6728	13.25	-87.25	92.75	92.75	272.75
2	13.25	272.75	1/2/2014	93.9465	76.8217	11/14/2018 1:49	13.25~272	9675	13.25	-87.25	92.75	92.75	272.75
3	13.25	272.75	1/2/2014	93.9465	76.8217	11/14/2018 1:49	13.25~272	9675	13.25	-87.25	92.75	92.75	272.75
4	13.25	272.75	1/3/2014	92.8899	77.885	11/14/2018 1:49	13.25~272	1061	13.25	-87.25	92.75	92.75	272.75
5	13.25	272.75	1/3/2014	92.8899	77.885	11/14/2018 1:49	13.25~272	1061	13.25	-87.25	92.75	92.75	272.75
6	13.25	272.75	1/4/2014	90.2433	77.3921	11/14/2018 1:49	13.25~272	6409	13.25	-87.25	92.75	92.75	272.75
7	13.25	272.75	1/4/2014	90.2433	77.3921	11/14/2018 1:49	13.25~272	6409	13.25	-87.25	92.75	92.75	272.75
ECIS	13.25	272.75	1/5/2014	94.2897	77.8821	11/14/2018 1:50	13.25~272	2995	13.25	-87.25	92.75	92.75	272.75
9	13.25	272.75	1/5/2014	94.2897	77.8821	11/14/2018 1:50	13.25~272	2995	13.25	-87.25	92.75	92.75	272.75
10	13.25	272.75	1/6/2014	93.151	75.6971	11/14/2018 1:50	13.25~272	5647	13.25	-87.25	92.75	92.75	272.75
11	13.25	272.75	1/6/2014	93.151	75.6971	11/14/2018 1:50	13.25~272	5647	13.25	-87.25	92.75	92.75	272.75
12	13.25	272.75	1/7/2014	90.0572	75.9713	11/14/2018 1:50	13.25~272	43	13.25	-87.25	92.75	92.75	272.75
13	13.25	272.75	1/7/2014	90.0572	75.9713	11/14/2018 1:50	13.25~272	43	13.25	-87.25	92.75	92.75	272.75
14	13.25	272.75	1/8/2014	89.0187	74.2483	11/14/2018 1:50	13.25~272	2	13.25	-87.25	92.75	92.75	272.75
15	13.25	272.75	1/8/2014	89.0187	74.2483	11/14/2018 1:50	13.25~272	2	13.25	-87.25	92.75	92.75	272.75
16	13.25	272.75	1/9/2014	91.1774	75.1632	11/14/2018 1:50	13.25~272	5217	13.25	-87.25	92.75	92.75	272.75
17	13.25	272.75	1/9/2014	91.1774	75.1632	11/14/2018 1:50	13.25~272	5217	13.25	-87.25	92.75	92.75	272.75
18	13.25	272.75	1/10/2014	94.0385	75.806	11/14/2018 1:51	13.25~272	1812	13.25	-87.25	92.75	92.75	272.75
19	13.25	272.75	1/10/2014	94.0385	75.806	11/14/2018 1:51	13.25~272	1812	13.25	-87.25	92.75	92.75	272.75
20	13.25	272.75	1/11/2014	92.8267	74.7385	11/14/2018 1:51	13.25~272	5969	13.25	-87.25	92.75	92.75	272.75
21	13.25	272.75	1/11/2014	92.8267	74.7385	11/14/2018 1:51	13.25~272	5969	13.25	-87.25	92.75	92.75	272.75
22	13.25	272.75	1/12/2014	94.1406	77.9738	11/14/2018 1:51	13.25~272	4382	13.25	-87.25	92.75	92.75	272.75
23	13.25	272.75	1/12/2014	94.1406	77.9738	11/14/2018 1:51	13.25~272	4382	13.25	-87.25	92.75	92.75	272.75
24	13.25	272.75	1/13/2014	94.0712	71.4597	11/14/2018 1:51	13.25~272	8619	13.25	-87.25	92.75	92.75	272.75
25	13.25	272.75	1/13/2014	94.0712	71.4597	11/14/2018 1:51	13.25~272	8619	13.25	-87.25	92.75	92.75	272.75

Base Setting | Data Generation





ussion Purposes Only | No comments or slides infer legal advice or actions. All decisions are at the sole discretion of your organization.

Base Setting | Compute Speed

Moore's Law – The number of transistors on integrated circuit chips (1971-2016) Moore's law describes the empirical regularity that the number of transistors on integrated circuits doubles approximately every two years. This advancement is important as other aspects of technological progress – such as processing speed or the price of electronic products – are strongly linked to Moore's law.



Data source: Wikipedia (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Transistor_count) The data visualization is available at OurWorldinData.org. There you find more visualizations and research on this topic.

Licensed under CC-BY-SA by the author Max Roser.

We need to reset our reference point

• It is no longer a question of is there data.

• We no longer need to discuss cost of storage.

• The question isn't computational power.

2/The real questions are more fundamental...

cussion Purposes Only | No comments or slides infer legal advice or t actions. All decisions are at the sole discretion of your organization.

The Ask is Everything

- What question do I want to answer?
- $\bullet_{\rm e} What do I need to answer the question?$
- How will I get to the answer?

n

• How do I know I can trust the answer?

cussion Purposes Only | No comments or slides infer legal advice or tactions. All decisions are at the sole discretion of your organization.

Data Strategy | Getting the Ask right

What is the elasticity for X item?

sion Burpases Golyet Maiganna eats anstides infeedegal advice or tions Albescisions care at the selendissie den of nave or senisation zation.

"An approximate answer to the right problem is worth a good deal more than an exact answer to an approximate problem."

n

-- John Tukey

Dissonance leads to different requirements



The world is more complicated



ussion Purposes Only | No comments or slides infer legal advice or actions. All decisions are at the sole discretion of your organization.

Consumers Have Options



With more information comes more sophisticated approaches

P(B|A) P(A)

P(B)

ex

n

Bayes

P(A|B)

 $V_{n}^{\omega}(z, \alpha, c1, c2, ..., cm) = \\ \inf_{x \in V^{2} \atop i \in V^{2}} \left[(\sum_{i=1}^{m} (s_{i} + ci)y_{i} + h(y + z - \bar{q})) + (\alpha \int_{0}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{0}^{\infty} v_{n+1}(y + z - \bar{q}, \alpha, x_{1}, x_{2}, ..., xm) dF_{1}(x_{1}) dF_{2}(x_{2}), ..., dF_{m}(x_{m}) \right] where \\ z = \sum_{i=1}^{m} z_{i}, \ \bar{q} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \bar{q}_{i}, \ y = \sum_{i=1}^{m} y_{i}$

 $(s_i + ci)$ = effective price of brand i, y = units purchased, v_n is the time period, z = inventory $V_n^{\omega}(z, \alpha, c1, c2, ..., cm)$ = min expected cost, α = rate of discount per v_{n+1}, \bar{q} = constant consumption rate



Bellman's Optimality Equation (1957): Krishna, Aradhna, "The Normative Impact of Consumer Price Expectations for Multiple Brands on Consumer Purchase Behavior," Marketing Science Vol 11, No 3, Summer 1992.

Analytics mindset is shifting



iscussion Purposes Only | No comments or slides infer legal advice or et actions. All decisions are at the sole discretion of your organization.



POI Toronto | June 2019

Discussion Purposes Only | No comments or slides infer legal advice or ket actions. All decisions are at the sole discretion of your organization.

"I always get to where I am going by walking away from where I have been."

sten BurbasserGolyet Noisernmenta mesides infeedegal advice article or tions. Alb decisions aroat the solid discretion of vavo pysarization zation.

n

Machine Learning Fundamentals

cussion Purposes Only | No comments or slides infer legal advice or t actions. All decisions are at the sole discretion of your organization.

n

POI Chicago | April 2019

ML consists of 3 general areas



Supervised

Apply what has been learned on historical data to new data in order to predict future events.

n



Unsupervised

Explore the data to discover hidden patterns and structures.



Reinforcement learning

Learn decision-making heuristics from the environment by taking actions, analyzing and optimizing consequences (minimizing errors and maximizing rewards).

urposes Only | No comments or slides infer legal advice or All decisions are at the sole discretion of your organization.

POI Chicago | April 2019

Common ML models

Supervised Learning

Linear Regression Logistic Regression Nearest Neighbor Decision Trees Random Forest Gradient Boosting Machines (GBM) / xgboost Neural Networks



Unsupervised Learning

Clustering

Association analysis

Principal Component Analysis

Neural Networks

POI Chicago | April 2019
Key concepts to know

- Training/Validation/Test data sets
- Multi-collinearity (it's a problem!)
- Feature engineering
- Bias vs. Variance
- Overfitting



POI Chicago | April 2019



ussion Purposes Only | No comments or slides infer legal advice or actions. All decisions are at the sole discretion of your organization.

POI Chicago | April 2019

Linear Regression



- The basis of modern day machine learning
- Simple; fast; great baseline model before employing more advanced algorithms; easy to explain
- Multi-collinearity and overfitting are a big problem; low accuracy vs. more advanced models; most business problems are complex, non-linear (not suitable for many real-world applications)

Decision Tree



Classification Tree

Predicting Titanic survival rates



- Simple; fast; deals with multicollinearity; addresses non-linear nature of business problems; easy to visualize and explain
- Low accuracy vs. more advanced models; prone to overfitting

POI Chicago | April 2019

ussion Purposes Only | No comments or slides Infer legal advice or actions. All decisions are at the sole discretion of your organization.

Random Forest



iscussion Purposes Only | No comments or slides infer legal advice or et actions. All decisions are at the sole discretion of your organization.

POI Chicago | April 2019

Gradient Boosting Machines



- Sequential trees. Prediction errors that Tree #1 makes influence how Tree #2 is constructed; errors that Tree #2 makes influence how Tree #3 is made, etc... (boosting)
- Classification: based on weighted importance of all decision trees
- Regression: weighted average of predictions
 - GBM and its variations can often produce the most accurate models
 - Hard to explain; can be much slower to train than Random Forest; prone to overfitting

Which algorithm should I choose?

Algorithm	Can it handle Regression, Classification or Both?	Model Interpretability	Easy to Explain to your EVP of Sales?	Predictive Accuracy	How fast can you train a model?	How fast can you predict on new data?	How much data do you need to build a model?	Do they handle multi- collinearity well?
Linear regression	Regression	Very Strong	Yes	Lower	Fastest	Fastest	Very little	No
Logistic regression	Classification	Strong	Yes	Lower	Fastest	Fastest	Very little	No
Nearest Neighbor	Both	Very Strong	Yes	Lower	Fast	Slower	More	No
Decision trees	Both	Medium	Yes	Lower	Fast	Fast	Little	Yes
Random Forests	Both	Low	Somewhat	Higher	Slower	Fast	Much more	Yes
GBM	Both	Low	Somewhat	Higher	Slower	Fast	Much more	Yes
Neural networks	Both	Lowest	Much harder	Highest	Slow	Fast	Most	Yes

ussion Purposes Only | No comments or slides infer legal advice or actions. All decisions are at the sole discretion of your organization.

n

POI Chicago | April 2019

Data Strategy | Key Components

GDPR, PII, and news stories are bringing data governance forward.

As systems, tools, MDM and data change our views must *evolve*.

- Development supporting data acquisition and maintenance.
- Architecture that supports and *usage*.

Governance

Infrastructure

Data

Technology Stack

Talent

Integration

- Technology that is nimble and scalable.
- Solution orientation focused on continuous *evolution*.
- Proprietary or Third Party, it takes *aptitude* to know how or why.
- Data Science talent isn't one size fits all.
- Executive sponsorship must be more than verbal.
- Organizational design is *idiosyncratic*.

scussion Purposes Only | No comments or slides infer legal advice or et actions. All decisions are at the sole discretion of your organization.



scussion Purposes Only | No comments or slides infer legal advice or t actions. All decisions are at the sole discretion of your organization.

Data Governance: Practice of <u>ensuring access</u> to high-quality data throughout the data life cycle.

cussion Purposes Only | No comments or slides infer legal advice or t actions. All decisions are at the sole discretion of your organization.

Governance/MDM In Data Science Era



ussion Purposes Only | No comments or slides inter legal advice or actions. All decisions are at the sole discretion of your organization.

Understanding the Data Ecosystem

1			
W			
BNIWIE 2	80% OF E	FFORT	20%
	Data Ingestion	Data Processing	Data Analytics
	$f(x) = b e^{x}$	ð %	
	For Discussion Purposes Only N market actions. All decisions are a		POI Toronto June 20

Static No More: Ontologies & Labelling

We have never been in a static world we need adaptive ontologies.

Global Connections Respective Associations Document ⊲fasKeywoj SAuthorOD Person e^{χ} has topic has topic artefact Book Author broaderKeyword has input Operation Data Wittgenstein machine has output The limits of my broaderKeyword broaderKeyword is a language mean hasKeyword isAuthorOf is identifier of is format of The_Cyberiad Stanislav_Lem robot vehicle the limits of my broaderKeyword world. isAuthorOf hasKeyword Isaac_Asimov Robot human robot XJ http://edamontology.org n

> cussion Purposes Only | No comments or slides infer legal advice or actions. All decisions are at the sole discretion of your organization.

Changing data means a changing framework



Discussion Purposes Only | No comments or slides infer legal advice or rket actions. All decisions are at the sole discretion of your organization.





cussion Purposes Only | No comments or slides infer legal advice or actions. All decisions are at the sole discretion of your organization.



cussion Purposes Only | No comments or slides infer legal advice or t actions. All decisions are at the sole discretion of your organization.

Data Lakes are more than Big Data



cussion Purposes Only | No comments or slides infer legal advice or tactions. All decisions are at the sole discretion of your organization.

"Errors using inadequate data are much less than those using no data at all."



POI Toronto | June 2019

scussion Purposes Only | No comments or slides infer legal advice or t actions. All decisions are at the sole discretion of your organization.

n

(x)

The Cloud: Everyone is doing it...

83% of enterprises have a Cloud Strategy...^



Not only the cloud but living on the Edge (IoT)



POI Toronto | June 2019

ket actions. All decisions are at the sole discretion of your organization.

^ Forbes.com



POI Toronto | June 2019

actions. All decisions are at the sole discretion of your organization.

Evolving Data Ecosystem

Example: Reimagining onpremise and cloud based architecture to more quickly address business questions.

 $cm)^{\star}=$



scussion Purposes Only | No comments or slides infer legal advice or et actions. All decisions are at the sole discretion of your organization.

Complex subjects need expert support

Data science translators are critical



Upskilling traditional analysts is perhaps the most important

Enhance skills of traditional analytical roles:

- Coding (R and/or Python)
- Foundational ML algorithms
- Instilling a Statistical acumen
- Utilize quick hit Proof of Concept efforts
- A connected relationship

m/business-functions/mckinsey-analytics/our-insights/analytics-translator

Discussion Purposes Only | No comments or slides infer legal advice or ket actions. All decisions are at the sole discretion of your organization.

POI Chicago | April 2019

Talent: Single COE or Live within Functions?



п

The various instruments add color

Pedro Domingos' Five Tribes



Discussion Purposes Only | No comments or slides infer legal advice or ket actions. All decisions are at the sole discretion of your organization.

They represent a breadth of application

Multi-tiered demand forecasting

 e^{χ}

Optimized product assortments at the individual store level

Personalized consumer engagement Trade Promotion Effectiveness & Optimization

Advertisement ROI

Price positioning studies (new product pricing, pricevalue curves)

Natural Language Processing

areas:

0

Process automation

• Trend predictions for product development

- Sentiment analysis for pricing, competitive intel and concept testing
- NLP for descriptive and diagnostic analytics

POI Toronto | June 2019

ission Purposes Only | No comments or slides infer legal advice or ctions. All decisions are at the sole discretion of your organization.

 e^{χ}

n

Set-up | Data Foundation Review







The are no Unicorns but schools of thought.



Take your time | Get the ask right | Get the right data | Then Analyze | Finally Act on the Facts

Ultimately Change Management Is Critical

sion Burposes Golys Molydmmeats previder inførdegd advise aurice or tions Albdecisions areas the selendisstetion of vonopraanisation zation.

n

Data Science Realities and Key Learnings

scussion Purposes Only | No comments or slides infer legal advice or t actions. All decisions are at the sole discretion of your organization.

n

The realities for CPG



\$1M Netflix prize: complex, accurate...negative ROI!

Why Netflix Never Implemented The Algorithm That Won The Netflix \$1 Million Challenge

from the times-change dept

You probably recall all the excitement that went around when a group **finally won** the big Netflix \$1 million prize in 2009, improving Netflix's recommendation algorithm by 10%. But what you might *not* know, is that **Netflix never implemented that solution itself**. Netflix recently put up a blog post **discussing some of the details of its recommendation system**, which (as an aside) explains why the winning entry never was used. First, they note that they *did* make use of an earlier bit of code that came out of the contest:

A year into the competition, the Korbell team won the first Progress Prize with an 8.43% improvement. They reported more than 2000 hours of work in order to come up with the final combination of 107 algorithms that gave them this prize. And, they gave us the source code. We looked at the two underlying algorithms with the best performance in the ensemble: Matrix Factorization (which the community generally called SVD, Singular Value Decomposition) and Restricted Boltzmann Machines (RBM). SVD by itself provided a 0.8914 RMSE (root mean squared error), while RBM alone provided a competitive but slightly worse 0.8990 RMSE. A linear blend of these two reduced the error to 0.88. To put these algorithms to use, we had to work to overcome some limitations, for instance that they were built to handle 100 million ratings, instead of the more than 5 billion that we have, and that they were not built to adapt as members added more ratings. But once we overcame those challenges, we put the two algorithms into production, where they are still used as part of our recommendation engine.

Neat. But the winning prize? Eh... just not worth it:

We evaluated some of the new methods offline but the additional accuracy gains that we measured did not seem to justify the engineering effort needed to bring them into a production environment.

It wasn't just that the improvement was marginal, but that Netflix's business had *shifted* and the way customers used its product, and the kinds of recommendations the company had done, had shifted too. Suddenly, the prize winning solution just wasn't that useful -- in part because many people were *streaming* videos rather than renting DVDs -- and it turns out that the recommendation for streaming videos *is different* than for rental viewing a few days later.

Neat. But the winning prize? Eh... just not worth it:

We evaluated some of the new methods offline but the additional accuracy gains that we measured did not seem to justify the engineering effort needed to bring them into a production environment.

It wasn't just that the improvement was marginal, but that Netflix's business had *shifted* and the way customers used its product, and the kinds of recommendations the company had done, had shifted too. Suddenly, the prize winning solution just wasn't that useful -- in part because many people were *streaming* videos rather than renting DVDs -- and it turns out that the recommendation for streaming videos *is different* than for rental viewing a few days later.

Source: https://www.forbes.com/sites/ryanholiday/2012/04/16/what-the-failed-1m-netflix-prize-tells-us-about-business-advice/

 e^{χ}

Advanced Analytics @ ATD Key takeaways on building a successful team



Advanced Analytics @ ATD

Sample Capabilities

X



Pricing insights and processes running on Open Source Systems



Predict customer churn

with 85% accuracy 90

days out



Runs+ scenarios daily to optimize 50K+ retail partners' profitability



Forecasts demand based on 1000+ features, not just past sales



Ability to tell retail partners how much demand an inch of snowfall will generate



Train Data Translators and Citizen Data Scientists



POI Chicago | April 2019

Discussion Purposes Only | No comments or slides infer legal advice or ket actions. All decisions are at the sole discretion of your organization.



Example: Inventory optimization via drone based image recognition



Price Elasticity Modeling

When being perturbed is a good thing

n

cussion Purposes Only | No comments or slides infer legal advice or actions. All decisions are at the sole discretion of your organization.

POI Chicago | April 2019

Own Elasticity vs. CPI Elasticity

- In Consumer Products / Manufacturing / Distribution type industries, Price Elasticity is typically estimated via linear regression models
- Log(Units Sold) ~ Log(Price) + Past Units Sold + Seasonality + other factors
 - The **Price coefficient** in the model loosely equates to price elasticity
- Instead of measuring unit sales' sensitivity to price changes, we can also measure sensitivity to CPI changes (aka. CPI Elasticity):
 - CPI=Competitive Price Index, aka. Our Price / Competitive Market Price
 - Example 1: Our Price = 90 and Comp Market Price = 100... CPI = 0.9
 - Example 2: Our Price = 105 and Comp Market Price = 100... CPI = 1.05
 - Example: CPI elasticity = -2
 - If CPI goes from 1.05 to 1.03 (-2% change), units are expected to increase by 4%
- CPI-elasticity model better aligns with human and marketplace behavior
CPI Elasticity in Reality (Non-Constant)



CPI Elasticity simulation using Random Forest model



POI Chicago | April 2019

Elasticity curve for a Customer-Product



POI Chicago | April 2019

Organizational Approach is Idiosyncratic

YOUR ORGANIZATION'S CT MANAGEMENT STYLE n

- Experiment: Prove the concept
- Patience: Everyone will want it now
- Innovate: Be willing to fail forward
- Budget: Build Accordingly
- Scalability: There is no future proof

C-Suite Must Be 100% On Board | Committed

POI Toronto | June 201